

REMARKS

Claims 6-20 are all the claims pending in the present application, claims 1-5 having been cancelled¹. In summary, the Examiner maintains the same prior art rejections as set forth in the previous Office Action. Claims 1, 4, 13, 17 and 18 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Zylka (U.S. Patent Appln. Pub. No. 2003-0068154). Claims 2, 3, 14-16, 19 and 20 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Zylka in view of Sakata (U.S. Patent Appln. Pub. No. 2002/0154337). Claims 6-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Zylka in view of Sie et al. (U.S. Patent Appln. Pub. No. 2002/0199188). Finally, claims 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Zylka in view of Sie, and further in view of Sakata (U.S. Patent Appln. Pub. No. 2002/0154337).

Prior Art Rejections

As indicated above, the pending claims are rejected based on the same reasons set forth in the previous Office Action. The Examiner also adds a few supplemental arguments in the *Response to Arguments* section of the Office Action.

Applicants substantially maintain the previously submitted arguments and submit that the claimed invention is patentably distinguishable over the applied art at least based on the additional reasons below.

That is, Zylka clearly indicates in paragraph 38 that a gateway (300) may be a set-top terminal/personal versatile recorder unit (200), and that the television set (307) is linked to the video/audio outputs (119) of Fig. 1. The video/audio outputs (119) belong to the set-top

¹ Claim 13 is amended, as indicated herein, for clarification purposes only.

terminal/personal versatile recorder unit (200) of Fig. 2. Therefore, it is clear that the gateway or the set-top terminal/personal versatile recorder unit (200) should not be assumed to be the TV set to which the claimed invention can be applied.

An exemplary embodiment of the present invention discloses a television having a function of searching and classifying multimedia contents on its own, which is distinguished from Zylka having a gateway for searching and classifying multimedia contents. In addition, the gateway of Zylka fails to disclose the fact that “the predetermined execution program to be executed for the multimedia content file selected by the user based on a classified result is selected and the multimedia content file is display by executing the predetermined execution program,” as recited in, for example, independent claim 6. Further, Applicants submit that the secondary references, alone or in combination with Zylka, also do not render independent claim 6 unpatentable.

Applicants submit that independent claims 13 and 18 are patentable at least based on reasons similar to those set forth previously with respect to claim 6. Applicants submit that claim 4 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency from claim 1.

Applicants submit that dependent claims 14-17, 19, and 20 are patentable at least by virtue of their respective dependencies from independent claims 13 and 18. Sakata does not make up for the deficiencies of Zylka.

Applicants submit that claims 7, 8, and 11 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependencies from independent claim 6.

Applicants submit that dependent claims 9, 10, and 12 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependencies from independent claim 6. Sakata does not make up for the deficiencies of the other applied references.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Diallo T. Crenshaw
Registration No. 52,778

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 9, 2009